

**GENERAL WAIVER COVER SHEET**  
**CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION**  
**JANUARY 2004 AGENDA**  
**Item No. W-20**

<p><b>TITLE:</b> Request by various school district(s) on behalf of <b>(2 possible)</b> school(s) in <b>Cohort II of the Immediate Intervention and/Underperforming Schools Program (IIUSP)</b> moved into interventions status on Nov 12, 2002, <b>(Valid API)</b> to waive <b>sanctions</b> in portions of E.C. 52055.5(b), in effect to keep the schools on “watch” for another year. Failing that the district(s) may wish to waive the <b>timeline</b> in portions of E.C. 52055.51(d)</p> <p><b>NOTE:</b> This is being submitted as a PLACEHOLDER</p>	<p>___ <b>ACTION</b></p>
--	--------------------------

**RECOMMENDATION:** Waivers and recommendations may be added in the Last Minute Memorandum.

---

**Summary of Previous State Board of Education Discussion and Action:**

On November 11, 2003, the State Board of Education (SBE) heard Item 23: Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP): Proposed intervention for (Cohorts I and II) schools that failed to show significant growth.

The SBE voted unanimous on Nov, 12, 2003, to require the districts of **2 Cohort II schools with Valid Academic Performance Indexes (APIs) in Cohort II** identified in the agenda item to “enter into contracts with School Assistance and Intervention Teams (SAITs) – because the schools have failed to show significant growth, as required by law – and allow the governing board of each school district to retain its legal rights, duties, and responsibilities with respect to each state-monitored school within its jurisdiction.”

---

**Summary of Key Issues:**

The district(s) on behalf to these **(2 possible) Cohort II** school(s) (see attached list) have chosen to waive those sanctions for various reasons. The waiver is of the **sanctions** in portions of E.C. 52055.5(b), in effect to keep the schools on “watch” for another year. Failing that, the district(s) may wish to waive the **timeline** in portions of E.C. 52055.51(d) so that they have time to complete the SAIT activities required under the sanctions.

---

**Fiscal Analysis (as appropriate):**

**Elementary and Middle schools receive \$75,000** to conduct the SAIT Process. **High Schools receive \$100,000** to conduct the SAIT Process. All schools may apply for addition funds to conduct the SAIT, up to \$125,000. However, the additional funding must be approved by CDE and Finance. **In addition, all schools in the IIUSP/Sanctions receive \$150 per student** for at least two years and maybe three.

## **Immediate Intervention Underperforming Schools (II/USP) Potentially Subject to State Intervention**

---

This is the list of the 2 Cohort II II/USP schools with **Valid** Academy Performance Indexes (API) that failed to demonstrate significant growth. On November 12-13, 2003 the California State Board of Education identified these schools to be state monitored.

### *Schools Deferred for Review in January 2004*

<b>County</b>	<i>District</i>	<b>School</b>	<b>ADA</b>
Los Angeles	Inglewood Unified	Woodworth (Clyde) Elementary	1,003
San Francisco	San Francisco	Treasure Island Elementary	476