



# CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

## MAY 2004 AGENDA

<b>SUBJECT</b>  Consolidated Applications 2003 – 2004: Approval	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Action</b>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Information</b>
	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Public Hearing</b>

### RECOMMENDATION

The California Department of Education (CDE) recommends that the State Board of Education (SBE) approve the 2003-2004 Consolidated Application (Con Apps) submitted by Local Educational Agencies (LEAs).

### SUMMARY OF STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION DISCUSSION AND ACTION

Each year the CDE, in compliance with *California Code of Regulations* Title 5, Section 3920, recommends that SBE approve applications for funding Consolidated Categorical Aid Programs submitted by LEAs. To date, the SBE has approved Con Apps for 1264 LEAs. This is the third year LEAs have completed, and submitted the Con App via a software package downloaded from the Internet. This mechanism substantially decreased calculation errors and the time needed for review and approval.

There are 17 state and federal programs that LEAs may apply for in the Con App. Approximately \$2.4 billion is distributed annually through the Con App process. The state funding sources include: School Improvement Program, Economic Impact Aid (which is used for State Compensatory Education (SCE) and/or English Learners), Miller-Unruh, Tobacco Use Prevention Education, 10<sup>th</sup> Grade Counseling, Peer Assistance Review, Instructional Time and Staff Development Reform, and School Safety (AB 1113). The federal funding sources include: Title I, Part A Basic Grant (Low Income); Title I, Part A (Neglected); Title I, Part D, (Delinquent); Title II, Part A (Teacher Quality); Title II, Part D (Technology); Title III, Part A (LEP Students); Title IV, Part A (SDFSC); and Title V, Part A (Innovative); and Title VI, Part B (Rural, Low-Income).

CDE provides the State Board of Education with two types of approval recommendations. Regular approval is recommended when an LEA has submitted a correct and complete Consolidated Application, Part I and have no serious noncompliant issues over 365 days. Conditional approval is recommended when an LEA has submitted a correct and complete Consolidated Application, Part I, but has one or more serious noncompliant issues over 365 days. Conditional approval provides authority to the LEA to spend its categorical funds on the condition that it resolves or makes significant progress toward resolving noncompliant issues. In extreme cases, conditional approval may include the withholding of funds.

At its meeting in January, the SBE granted conditional approval for the Consolidated Application for Oakland Unified School District (USD). The district has longstanding noncompliant issues related to services for English learners. No action was recommended to withhold funds. The SBE requested an update on the progress of Oakland USD at the May SBE Meeting.

---

### **SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES**

CDE recommends regular approval of the Consolidated Application for five charter schools (see Attachment 1 for the list of charter schools).

Oakland USD has made significant strides toward resolving its noncompliant issues for English learners. In 2001, there were 15 noncompliant issues related to services for English learners. The district has participated in a multi-year, follow-up process with CDE and the Office of Civil Rights (OCR) to address noncompliance. Of the 15 noncompliant issues in 2001, the district has resolved all, except two. The most recent follow-up visit to Oakland was at the end of March. The report from that visit will be available by the May SBE Meeting. No further SBE action is recommended at this time.

---

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS (AS APPROPRIATE)**

Minimal CDE cost to track the SBE approval status of the Consolidated Applications for approximately 1,300 LEAs.

---

### **ATTACHMENT**

[Attachment 1](#): Consolidated Application list (1 Page).

It is likely that a few more Charter Schools will submit a Con App, in which case a Last Minute Memorandum will be necessary.

**Recommended for  
Regular Approval:**

The following LEAs have submitted a correct and complete Consolidated Application, Part I, and have no compliance issues crucial to student achievement outstanding for more than 365 days. The Department recommends regular approval of these applications.

CD Code	School Code	Local Educational Agency Name	2002 STAR Data							
			2002-2003		2002-2003		Percent of Students Scoring At or Above			
			ConApp Entitlement	Entitlement Per Student	Entitlement Per Low Income Student	Mathematics		Reading		
			Advanced or Basic	Advanced or Proficient	Advanced or Basic	Advanced or Proficient				
4369583	0100131	Advent Academy	0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1964733	0101675	Animo Boyle Heights Charter School	0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1975663	6120158	New West Charter Middle	0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1964733	6018642	Pacoima Charter Elementary	0	0.00	0.00	23.6	15.4	22.0	5.3	
1910199	0102145	Progressive Education Entrepreneurial Charter	0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

- 5 Total Number of LEAs in the report
- \$0 Total ConApp entitlement for districts receiving regular approval
- \$0 Total ConApp entitlement for districts receiving conditional approval
- \$0 Total ConApp entitlement